## **Minutes**

Standards Committee Assessment Sub-Committee Tuesday, 1 June 2010 Meeting held at Committee Room 4 - Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge UB8 1UW



Published on: 7 June 2010

### **Members Present:**

Malcolm Ellis (Chairman)
John Hensley
Anita MacDonald
Dominic Gilham

## **Apologies:**

Councillor Catherine Dann

### **Officers Present:**

Raj Alagh, Head of Legal Services Lloyd White, Head of Democratic Services Nav Johal, Democratic Services Officer

## 1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS COMING BEFORE THIS MEETING

There were no declarations of interest in matters coming before this meeting.

# 2. CONFIRMATION THAT ALL ITEMS MARKED PART I WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PUBLIC AND THAT THOSE MARKED PART 2 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PRIVATE

Exclusion of the press and public: It was confirmed that the business of the meeting would be conducted in private.

## 3. INITIAL ASSESSMENT OF COMPLAINT

The Sub-Committee met to consider an allegation made by Mr Anthony Way, that Councillor Scott Seaman-Digby had contravened one or more provisions of the Members' Code of Conduct ["the Code"] which he had undertaken in writing to observe.

The Members of the Sub-Committee satisfied themselves that if proven, the allegation would constitute a breach of paragraph 6[a] of the code which imposes an obligation on a Member not to use or attempt to use his position as a Member

\_\_\_\_\_

improperly to confer on or secure for himself or any other person, an advantage or disadvantage.

The Members consulted with the Monitoring Officer and decided that the most appropriate action to take was for the Monitoring Officer and Deputy Monitoring Officer to arrange to provide training to Councillor Seaman-Digby in relation to all the provisions of the Code as soon as practicable.

## **RESOLVED:**

- 1. That the allegation be referred to the Monitoring Officer.
- 2. The Monitoring Officer and Deputy Monitoring Officer to provide training to Councillor Seaman-Digby in relation to all the provisions of the Code as soon as practicable.

A full written summary of the decision is available to the public.